

## Why do family businesses shut down ventures instead of selling them?

*Identity, emotional ownership, and restart intentions explain why families close rather than divest*

NAVEED AKHTER · PHILIPP SIEGER · FRANCESCO CHIRICO

*Published on September 8, 2016*

When a business in a family portfolio is struggling, the standard advice is to sell it—recover what capital you can and redeploy it. But many family businesses reject this logic. They shut down the venture instead, absorbing the financial loss and walking away from potential sale proceeds. To outside observers, the decision looks irrational. To the families making it, selling feels like something worse than losing money: it feels like losing part of themselves.

This qualitative study examines the shutdown-versus-sale decision across **six family business portfolios in Pakistan**, encompassing 49 businesses and 20 business exits during periods of declining performance. Data came from 39 in-depth interviews with family members, supplemented by field visits, archival material, and internal documents. The theoretical lens is social identity theory, which explains why emotional attachment to a business can override financial optimization in exit decisions.

### WHAT WE STUDIED

The six family portfolios spanned construction, agriculture, manufacturing, and distribution. All faced the same basic decision: when a satellite business (a non-core venture within the family portfolio) was declining, should they sell it or shut it down? In most cases, the families chose shutdown. The researchers traced the reasoning behind these decisions through detailed process analysis, coding interview transcripts for identity-related mechanisms, resource management strategies, and future intentions.

The Pakistani context matters. Family business portfolios are common in the country's economic

structure, and cultural norms around family honor, reputation, and collective identity intensify the emotional dimensions of business decisions. The findings, however, speak to patterns observed in family firms globally—wherever identity and ownership are deeply entangled.

### KEY INSIGHTS

#### *Identity fit drives the exit choice*

The central finding is that the strength of the identity connection between the family and the satellite business determines the exit path. When a venture is closely tied to the family's name, reputation, or legacy, families overwhelmingly prefer shutdown. Selling the business to an outsider feels like transferring part of the family's identity—an outcome they find unacceptable even when financially advantageous. One owner described it as a refusal to let the family name operate under someone else's control. Where the identity connection is weak—ventures acquired for purely financial reasons, or businesses involving non-family partners—selling becomes a more acceptable option.

#### *Shutdown enables resource recycling*

Closing a business does not mean abandoning its assets. Families routinely transferred employees, equipment, real estate, and institutional knowledge from shuttered ventures to other parts of the portfolio. This “entrepreneurial recycling” preserved value that a sale might have dispersed. Staff with decades of firm-specific knowledge moved to core businesses. Machinery was repurposed. Office space was redirected. Shutdown, in this framing, is not

destruction—it is strategic redistribution within a family-controlled ecosystem.

#### ***Families shut down with the intention to restart***

Several families explicitly framed shutdown as temporary. They kept assets in reserve, maintained relationships with suppliers and clients, and spoke of the closed venture as paused rather than finished. One family shut down a media company but retained the studio, equipment, and office space. Years later, when market conditions improved, they relaunched. The shutdown-as-pause logic explains why families are willing to absorb short-term financial losses: they are not closing a chapter, they are bookmarking it.

#### ***Performance decline intensifies identity-driven behavior***

Counterintuitively, the worse a business performed, the more emotionally committed families became to the shutdown option. Rather than cutting losses through a sale as performance deteriorated, families doubled down on identity preservation. This pattern resembles escalation of commitment—but with a distinctive family twist. The emotional stakes rise as the situation worsens, because decline threatens not just the business but the family's sense of self. The response is to hold tighter, not to let go.

#### ***Ownership structure mediates the decision***

Fully family-owned satellite businesses were far more likely to be shut down than sold. When ventures involved non-family partners or when the family's emotional investment was low, sale became the preferred option. Ownership concentration and emotional attachment operate jointly: where both are high, shutdown is the default. Where either is diluted, financial optimization regains its influence.

### **TAKEAWAYS**

#### ***Exit planning must account for identity, not just financials***

Advisors who approach family business exits with purely financial frameworks will misjudge the decision-making process. Identity fit—how closely the venture is tied to the family's sense of self—is often the determining variable. Assessing this before recommending a course of action prevents misalignment between advice and the family's actual priorities.

#### ***Design shutdown as strategic redistribution***

If shutdown is the preferred path, it should be planned deliberately. Mapping which assets, people, and knowledge can be transferred to other parts of the portfolio turns closure into a resource management exercise rather than a loss event.

#### ***Acknowledge the restart option explicitly***

Families that shut down with restart intentions should formalize those intentions. Setting conditions for relaunch—market triggers, capital thresholds, leadership readiness—transforms a vague hope into a strategic plan.

### **IMPACT**

This study challenges the assumption that business exit should always be financially optimized. In family firms, exit decisions are shaped by emotional ownership, identity preservation, and the desire to maintain future optionality—factors that do not appear on balance sheets but carry decisive weight. The contribution is both theoretical (extending social identity theory into the domain of portfolio entrepreneurship) and practical (providing a framework for understanding and advising on family business exits). For practitioners, the message is that shutdown is not always a sign of failure or poor judgment. In family contexts, it can be a deliberate, identity-preserving strategy.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 1. Incorporate identity analysis into exit planning.** Before recommending sale or shutdown, assess how deeply the venture is tied to the family's identity and reputation.
- 2. Develop asset recycling plans.** When shutdown is chosen, map which resources can be redeployed to other portfolio businesses to minimize value destruction.
- 3. Formalize restart conditions.** If the family intends to relaunch, define the triggers and prerequisites that would make reentry viable.
- 4. Monitor for escalation of commitment.** Identity-driven decisions are legitimate, but they can tip into over-commitment. Regular reassessment ensures that emotional loyalty does not override financial sustainability.

---

Spotlight by CeFEO, *Why do family businesses shut down ventures instead of selling them?* Identity, emotional ownership, and restart intentions explain why families close rather than divest. Downloaded on 30 May 2026 from <https://spotlight.cefeo.se>

---

## CEFEO AUTHORS



**Naveed Akhter**

*Assistant Professor*

*Jönköping International Business School*

naveed.akhter@ju.se



**Francesco Chirico**

*Professor*

*Jönköping International Business School*

francesco.chirico@ju.se

## PUBLISHED IN



Akhter, N., Sieger, P., & Chirico, F. (2016). If we can't have it, then no one should: Shutting down versus selling in family business portfolios. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 10(4), 371–394.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/sej.1237>

---

*Spotlight* is an online magazine that translates research from the Centre for Family Entrepreneurship and Ownership (CeFEO) at Jönköping International Business School, Jönköping University, into accessible insights for family business owners, practitioners, and policymakers.

*Spotlight* is supported by the WIFU Foundation. This partnership advances dialogue and education in responsible family entrepreneurship and ownership.

---

