Spotlight
by CeFEO

How does divorce reshape family businesses and what can we do about it?

Swedish legal analysis reveals how marital breakdowns threaten firm continuity and what safeguards work

KAJSA HAAG · LARS-GÖRAN SUND

Published on May 1, 2016

https://doi.org/10.68623/spotlight.2025.3b1

Family businesses draw their strength from relationships—trust, shared history, long-term commitment. But when marriage breaks down, that relational foundation cracks in ways that extend far beyond the household. Divorce introduces legal uncertainty into ownership structures, governance arrangements, and succession plans. Swedish law, like many Western legal systems, treats business shares as marital property subject to division. The result is that a firm built over generations can be disrupted by a legal process designed for splitting household assets.

This study combines traditional legal analysis of Swedish statutory frameworks with empirical insights from interviews with experienced estate executors—practitioners who handle the actual division of assets in divorce cases involving family firms. The focus is on cases where one spouse holds shares in a family-owned business.

WHAT WE STUDIED

The researchers examined how Swedish marriage law applies to family business shares during divorce proceedings. They compared statutory provisions with practitioner experience to identify systemic gaps—places where the law fails to account for the distinctive characteristics of family firm ownership. The estate executors provided a ground-level perspective on how valuation disputes, emotional attachments, and the absence of clear legal guidance create recurring problems in practice.

KEY INSIGHTS

The legal system treats businesses like ordinary assets

Swedish law makes no special provision for family business shares during divorce. They are treated like bank accounts or real estate—divisible property to be split between spouses. This ignores the firm's emotional value, its intergenerational significance, and the dependence of employees and other stakeholders on its continuity. The legal framework is structurally blind to what makes a family business different from a financial portfolio.

Forced ownership transfers create governance risks

When shares are awarded to a non-participating ex-spouse, the consequences ripple through the firm. Governance becomes complicated when someone with no operational knowledge or alignment with the firm's mission holds voting rights. Succession plans are disrupted. Stakeholder confidence erodes. In the worst cases, an unwanted co-owner can create deadlock or sell their stake to outsiders—introducing parties the family never chose to work with.

Valuation disputes are common and expensive

Family firms are inherently difficult to value. Unlike publicly traded shares, there is no market price. Practitioners described recurring battles over valuation methods, opaque bookkeeping, and strategic underreporting of firm value. Without Supreme Court precedents or clear statutory guidance, outcomes depend on negotiation power and informal norms rather than consistent legal principles. The process is slow, adversarial, and costly.

Emotional ownership clashes with legal neutrality

The concept of psychological ownership—the feeling that the business is part of one's identity—has no standing in legal proceedings. Estate executors must treat the firm as a financial asset regardless of how deeply the owning spouse identifies with it. This disconnect fuels resentment and strategic behavior on both sides, making already painful proceedings more destructive.

TAKEAWAYS

Draft legal safeguards before they are needed

Prenuptial agreements that classify business shares as separate property are the single most effective protection against divorce-induced disruption. They prevent forced ownership transfers and eliminate the need for contentious valuation proceedings. When shares are transferred to the next generation through gifts or inheritance, attaching conditions that maintain separate-property status provides an additional layer of protection.

Build divorce scenarios into shareholder agreements

Shareholder agreements should explicitly address what happens when an owner divorces. Buy-sell clauses that allow remaining shareholders to acquire a divorcing spouse's shares at a predetermined formula prevent unwanted co-owners from entering the governance structure. Yet the study found that only a minority of family firms have such provisions in place.

Compensate the non-owning spouse fairly but appropriately

Awarding business shares to a spouse who has no role in the firm often creates more problems than it solves. More appropriate forms of compensation include pension rights for spouses who sacrificed career opportunities and housing rights to the shared home. These provide economic fairness without destabilizing the business.

IMPACT

This study exposes a significant gap in how legal systems handle the intersection of family law and business ownership. The Swedish case illustrates a broader problem: laws designed for dividing household assets are poorly suited to protecting ongoing enterprises. The Austrian model, which excludes shares in unlisted businesses from divorce settlements unless they function as passive investments, provides a legislative template worth considering.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. **Implement prenuptial agreements proactively.** Every family member entering the ownership structure should have a marriage settlement that classifies business shares as separate property.
2. **Update shareholder agreements with divorce provisions.** Include buy-sell clauses and clear valuation formulas that activate in the event of a shareholder's divorce.
3. **Advocate for legislative reform.** Policymakers should consider excluding shares in unlisted family businesses from standard marital property division, following models that protect business continuity while ensuring fair compensation.
4. **Seek multidisciplinary advice.** Legal advisors handling family business divorces should combine legal expertise with an understanding of governance, succession planning, and the emotional dynamics of family ownership.

Spotlight by CeFEO, How does divorce reshape family businesses and what can we do about it? Swedish legal analysis reveals how marital breakdowns threaten firm continuity and what safeguards work. Downloaded on 26 November 2026 from https://doi.org/10.68623/spotlight.2025.3b1

CEFEO AUTHORS



Kajsa Haag

Associate Professor

Jönköping International Business School

kajsa.haag@ju.se

PUBLISHED IN



Haag, K., & Sund, L.-G. (2016). Divorce in the family business: Unfolding the legal problems by learning from practice. Journal of Family Business Management, 6(1), 81–96.

https://doi.org/10.1108/JFBM-06-2015-0021

Spotlight is an online magazine that translates research from the Centre for Family Entrepreneurship and Ownership (CeFEO) at Jönköping International Business School, Jönköping University, into accessible insights for family business owners, practitioners, and policymakers.

Spotlight is supported by the WIFU Foundation. This partnership advances dialogue and education in responsible family entrepreneurship and ownership.

JÖNKÖPING UNIVERSITY
Jönköping International Business School

CeFEO
Centre for Family Entrepreneurship and Ownership

WIFU FOUNDATION