Spotlight
by CeFEO

How can founders' social environments influence cultural diversity in startups?

Founders' prior workplaces and neighborhoods shape the cultural diversity of their startup boards

CHANCHAL BALACHANDRAN · KARL WENNBERG · TIMUR UMAN

Published on August 1, 2019 *https://doi.org/10.68623/spotlight.2025.9c3*

Who sits on a startup's board of directors? The conventional answer focuses on investor rights, governance needs, and strategic expertise. But this study reveals a more fundamental driver: the social environment of the founder. Drawing on relational demography theory, the research shows that the demographic composition of a founder's personal and professional network—their age, gender, and ethnic diversity—significantly shapes who ends up in the boardroom.

The study uses data from **130 Swedish startups**, all founded between 2005 and 2007, tracked through their early years. By analyzing the demographic characteristics of founders' networks alongside board composition data, the authors establish a clear link: founders embedded in diverse social environments appoint more diverse boards, while those in homogeneous networks replicate that homogeneity in their governance structures.

WHAT WE STUDIED

The researchers combined Swedish register data with firm-level records to construct detailed demographic profiles of each founder's social environment. Three dimensions of diversity were measured: **age diversity**, **gender diversity**, and **ethnic diversity**, each assessed within the founder's network of professional and personal contacts. Board composition was measured along the same three dimensions. The analytical approach used regression models with controls for firm size, industry, founder experience, and other governance characteristics.

The theoretical framework draws on relational demography, which argues that people are influenced by the demographic composition of their social environment—not just by their own individual characteristics. The key mechanism is **homophily**: the tendency to associate with, trust, and recruit people who are demographically similar. In board formation, this means that a founder's network acts as both a talent pool and a filter, shaping which candidates are considered credible, trustworthy, and appropriate for governance roles.

KEY INSIGHTS

Founders' networks directly shape board diversity

The central finding is that the demographic diversity of a founder's social environment is a significant predictor of board diversity. Founders surrounded by people of different ages, genders, and ethnic backgrounds are more likely to appoint diverse boards. This relationship holds across all three diversity dimensions and is robust to controls. The implication is that board composition in new firms is not primarily a governance design choice—it is a social reproduction process, shaped by the networks founders already inhabit.

Ethnic diversity shows the strongest network effect

Among the three dimensions, ethnic diversity in the founder's network had the strongest and most consistent effect on board ethnic diversity. This suggests that cross-ethnic ties in a founder's environment are particularly powerful in overcoming the default homophily that typically governs recruitment. Age and gender diversity effects were also significant but somewhat weaker, indicating that different dimensions of diversity may operate through partially distinct mechanisms.

Network homogeneity reproduces itself in governance

The flip side of the finding is equally important. Founders embedded in homogeneous networks—same age, same gender, same ethnic background—tend to build boards that mirror that homogeneity. This is not necessarily a conscious choice. Homophily operates through availability and trust: the candidates a founder knows and considers credible come disproportionately from their existing network, which is itself demographically skewed. Without deliberate intervention, the social composition of governance structures becomes a reflection of the founder's social world.

Early board composition has lasting consequences

The study focuses on startups, where board formation happens in the earliest stages of organizational development. These initial governance choices tend to persist: the first directors set norms, recruit successors from their own networks, and establish the cultural tone of the board. A homogeneous founding board creates path dependence that makes later diversification more difficult. The research implies that interventions aimed at board diversity are most effective—and most needed—at the point of formation, not after governance patterns have solidified.

TAKEAWAYS

Audit your network before building your board

Founders should examine the demographic composition of their professional and personal networks before making governance appointments. If the network is homogeneous, the default candidate pool will be too. Deliberate outreach to organizations, communities, and professional groups outside the founder's usual circles can broaden the range of credible candidates.

Design board recruitment processes that counteract homophily

Structured search processes, open nomination procedures, and the use of professional board recruiters can reduce the extent to which board appointments default to the founder's immediate social circle. These mechanisms are especially important for first-time founders who may not yet have the breadth of network needed for diverse governance.

Recognize that diversity begets diversity

The first diverse appointment on a board changes the network dynamics for subsequent appointments. A single director from a different demographic background introduces new connections, perspectives, and candidate pools that increase the probability of further diversity. The barrier is the first appointment—after that, the network effect works in the opposite direction.

IMPACT

This study contributes to both the governance literature and the entrepreneurship literature by showing that board composition in new firms is shaped by social processes, not just strategic choices. The relational demography framework provides a mechanism—homophily operating through founder networks—that explains why board diversity in startups tends to mirror the social environment of the founding team. For practitioners, the message is that governance diversity requires intentional network management, not just good intentions at the point of appointment. For policymakers interested in promoting board diversity, the findings suggest that interventions targeting founders' networks and social environments may be more effective than regulations focused on board composition alone.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. **For founders:** Audit your network before building your board. If your professional circle is culturally, ethnically, or demographically homogeneous, your board will likely be too.
2. **For investors and incubators:** Build diverse cohorts and mentorship pools. The social environment you create around founders directly shapes the governance structures they build.
3. **For policymakers:** Focus interventions on network access and exposure, not just board mandates. Diverse networks produce diverse boards more reliably than compliance requirements alone.
4. **For board members:** Recognize that your appointment as a diverse director increases the probability of diverse board appointments later.
5. **For researchers:** Extend this framework to later-stage firms and to family businesses, where relational demography may operate differently given the stronger role of kinship networks in board formation.

Spotlight by CeFEO, How can founders' social environments influence cultural diversity in startups? Founders' prior workplaces and neighborhoods shape the cultural diversity of their startup boards. Downloaded on 15 November 2026 from https://doi.org/10.68623/spotlight.2025.9c3

CEFEO AUTHORS



Timur Uman

Professor

Jönköping International Business School

timur.uman@ju.se

PUBLISHED IN



Balachandran, C., Wennberg, K., & Uman, T. (2019). National culture diversity in new venture boards: The role of founders' relational demography. Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal, 13(3), 410–434.

https://doi.org/10.1002/sej.1327

Spotlight is an online magazine that translates research from the Centre for Family Entrepreneurship and Ownership (CeFEO) at Jönköping International Business School, Jönköping University, into accessible insights for family business owners, practitioners, and policymakers.

Spotlight is supported by the WIFU Foundation. This partnership advances dialogue and education in responsible family entrepreneurship and ownership.

JÖNKÖPING UNIVERSITY
Jönköping International Business School

CeFEO
Centre for Family Entrepreneurship and Ownership

WIFU FOUNDATION