

How can family firms design corporate venturing without losing control or legacy?

A systematic review maps the ownership, family, and business conditions that shape venturing forms and results in family firms.

MICHELA BEARZI · DANIEL PITTINO · FRANCESCA VISINTIN · CINZIA BATTISTELLA

Published on August 14, 2025

WHAT WE STUDIED

Corporate venturing is a broad label for entrepreneurial moves that create new business organizations, either inside the firm or outside it (for example, new venture creation, spin-offs, joint ventures, franchising, or corporate venture capital). This article synthesizes 68 sources (54 journal articles and 14 book chapters) to clarify how corporate venturing unfolds in family firms and why the same move can succeed in one family firm but fail in another.

The review uses a multilevel embeddedness view. It treats the family firm as a social system with three intertwined subsystems: ownership, family, and business. The authors then map how factors at each level shape (1) the antecedents of corporate venturing, (2) the forms it takes, (3) intervening factors that accelerate or block progress, and (4) outcomes for the business and the owning family.

KEY INSIGHTS

1) Corporate venturing is often external, but internal venturing is underused and under-studied

Most studies focus on external venturing modes that are visible and easier to observe: joint ventures, franchising, venture capital investments, portfolio and habitual entrepreneurship, and spin-offs. Internal corporate venturing (for example, internal start-ups and new business units) receives much less empirical attention, despite being a potentially good fit for families that want renewal while keeping control close.

2) Ownership concentration and governance shape risk appetite and mode choice

Concentrated ownership, especially in earlier generations, can enable faster decisions and a clearer strategic direction. As ownership fragments across generations, diverging preferences and coalitions often increase caution. Across the literature, family firms weigh both financial outcomes and socioemotional outcomes (identity, control, legacy, cohesion). This makes them selectively entrepreneurial: willing to venture when it protects long-term continuity, reluctant when it threatens control or reputation.

3) Family dynamics can be a catalyst or a constraint

Venturing is frequently used as a platform for next-generation development and involvement. It can build entrepreneurial capabilities and support succession by giving successors real responsibility. At the same time, venturing can amplify latent tensions (for example, sibling rivalry, intergenerational disagreements, or conflicts between active and non-active owners) and turn growth projects into governance disputes.

4) Business resources and relationships matter, but so does strategic alignment

Venturing outcomes depend on resources (financial slack, knowledge, capabilities) and on relationships that reduce uncertainty (partners, networks, trusted stakeholders). A recurring pattern is that venturing works better when it is integrated into an explicit strategy and governance logic, rather than treated as a side project. Misalignment increases the risk of resource fights, talent drain, and disappointment from owners who expect stable dividends.

5) *External environments can quietly push families toward action*

Competitive pressures, technological shifts, and institutional settings influence whether venturing is feasible and attractive. For example, stronger investor protections and governance institutions can make it easier to build internal venturing programs. Market volatility can also create a paradox: uncertainty may increase caution, but it can also encourage diversification through venturing when income streams are unstable.

TAKEAWAYS

Choose the venturing form that fits your control needs and learning goals

- **Internal ventures** (new units, internal start-ups) can support renewal while preserving control, but they require autonomy, talent, and a culture that tolerates experimentation.
- **Spin-offs** can protect the core business while exploring new markets, but they raise questions about ownership stakes, resource sharing, and decision rights.
- **Joint ventures** can share risk and provide access to capabilities, but families must explicitly decide what control they are willing to trade for speed and learning.
- **Corporate venture capital** can provide exposure to emerging technologies without full integration, yet it requires clear criteria for strategic fit and governance oversight.
- **Franchising** can scale with brand consistency, but it requires strong relational governance and the ability to adapt routines in early stages.

Make the “family logic” explicit before you make the investment

Before committing capital, clarify the family-level aims that sit behind the project. Are you pursuing renewal to strengthen continuity? Creating opportunity for the next generation? Diversifying family wealth? Defining these aims early reduces the odds that the project later becomes a proxy fight about identity, fairness, or control.

Build a governance path that matches the venturing path

1. Define decision rights (who decides, who advises, who vetoes) for the venture and for the parent

firm.

2. Set resource rules (budget, talent allocation, access to brand and data, and the terms of internal services).
3. Agree on reporting and review cadence (what is measured, how often, and what triggers escalation).
4. Design exit rules (when to scale up, pivot, integrate, sell, or shut down).

IMPACT

The review’s organizing framework helps leaders avoid a common trap: treating corporate venturing as a purely strategic move. In family firms, venturing is simultaneously a strategic, ownership, and family-development decision. Done well, it can strengthen performance, innovation, knowledge, and relational capital, while also supporting succession and capability building. Done poorly, it can create ownership dilution fears, intensify family conflict, and weaken commitment to the core business.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- **Start with a portfolio view:** treat venturing as a set of bets with different risk, control, and learning profiles, not a single initiative.
- **Protect the core while enabling the new:** ring-fence resources for exploration so the venture is not constantly renegotiating for talent and attention.
- **Use venturing to develop people on purpose:** if succession and next-generation engagement are goals, define roles, autonomy, and learning metrics up front.
- **Bring in outside perspectives carefully:** external board members or advisors can increase openness and stewardship, but only if the family is clear on boundaries and authority.
- **Anticipate “non-active owner” concerns:** communicate dividend implications, time horizons, and downside protection mechanisms to reduce resistance.

Spotlight by CeFEO, *How can family firms design corporate venturing without losing control or legacy?* A systematic review maps the ownership, family, and business conditions that shape venturing forms and results in family firms.. Downloaded on 21 June 2026 from <https://spotlight.cefeo.se>

CEFEO AUTHORS



Daniel Pittino

Professor

Jönköping International Business School

daniel.pittino@ju.se

PUBLISHED IN



Bearzi, M., Pittino, D., Visintin, F., & Battistella, C. (2025). Corporate venturing in family firms: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, 16, 100685. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfbs.2025.100685>

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfbs.2025.100685>

Spotlight is an online magazine that translates research from the Centre for Family Entrepreneurship and Ownership (CeFEO) at Jönköping International Business School, Jönköping University, into accessible insights for family business owners, practitioners, and policymakers.

Spotlight is supported by the WIFU Foundation. This partnership advances dialogue and education in responsible family entrepreneurship and ownership.



CeFEO
Centre for Family
Entrepreneurship
and Ownership

