

Does Age Really Matter for Entrepreneurs?

When wisdom and financial freedom fuel entrepreneurship

JOHANNES HAGEN · LUCIA NALDI · CHARLIE KARLSSON

Published on September 11, 2025

Entrepreneurship is often portrayed as a young person's pursuit, quick, agile, and full of energy. Yet, as the population ages, more people are founding ventures later in life. Many are drawn by purpose, flexibility, or the freedom that comes after leaving traditional employment.

But does age really help or hurt a startup's performance? Is there an optimal window to found a business? This study provides one of the most comprehensive answers to date, using **nationwide Swedish data** to reveal how founder age affects both **firm survival and growth**, particularly around the retirement threshold.

WHAT WE STUDIED

The authors analyze **all newly incorporated Swedish firms** founded between **2011 and 2018**, identifying **125,822** single-founder ventures and tracking **365,532** **firm-year observations**. They focus on truly new, closely held corporations by excluding large employers, mergers, and team-founded firms.

- **Survival** is examined using **Cox proportional hazard models**, where the key variable is **age at founding**.
- **Employment growth** is analyzed through **fixed-effects regressions**, where the founder's age varies over time.
- Controls include **gender, education, immigrant status, prior self-employment, and industry experience**, alongside year and sector effects.

A critical feature of the study is its focus on the **retirement window (ages 60–70)**. Using a **regression discontinuity design (RDD)**, the authors exploit the **age 65 threshold**, the point when Swedes typically gain access to public pensions, to see how financial security

changes who starts a firm and how those firms perform.

KEY INSIGHTS

1) *Survival follows an M-shaped pattern*

Firm survival doesn't simply decline with age. Instead, it follows an **M-shape**: strong improvement through the 20s to mid-40s, a decline toward the early 60s, a **brief recovery just after 65**, and a final drop in the late 60s.

In other words, **turning 65 marks a small but distinct rebound in business survival**, driven by a subset of founders who enter entrepreneurship under different circumstances than before retirement age.

2) *Growth peaks earlier and plateaus at retirement*

Employment growth follows an **inverse U-shape**, increasing steadily through mid-life before flattening around retirement. Unlike survival, there's **no rebound in growth** after 65—founders tend to focus on **stable, manageable ventures**, not rapid expansion.

3) *The post-65 bump reflects selection, not rejuvenation*

Why do survival rates rise just after 65? The RDD results reveal that founders who start businesses at or after this age differ systematically from those just younger:

- **Public pension claiming** increases sharply—around **30 percentage points** at age 65.
- **Prior self-employment experience** rises by **9.4 percentage points**.
- **Industry experience** and **college education** also jump slightly.

These patterns suggest that late-life founders are **more experienced, better educated, and financially secure**, allowing them to weather early challenges.

4) Life stage outweighs chronological age

The findings show that **resources and motivations tied to life stage**—such as pension income, accumulated experience, and lower risk aversion—shape entrepreneurial outcomes more than age itself. In short: **founders don't age out; their circumstances change.**

TAKEAWAYS

1) Time new ventures to align with life stage

Launching right after gaining pension access can improve survival odds. The financial buffer and freedom from employment constraints make post-retirement ventures more resilient, even if they stay small.

Practical advice:

- Use retirement income as a safety net during the startup phase.
- Focus on **capital-light, experience-based businesses** where credibility and networks matter more than speed.

2) Rethink growth expectations after 60

Older founders tend to prioritize **stability over expansion**. This isn't a weakness; it reflects different goals and capacities.

Practical advice:

- Measure success through **profitability, cash flow, or client retention**, rather than job creation or rapid scaling.
- Consider **lean models** with fewer employees but higher productivity.

3) Leverage experience, not endurance

The data highlight that late-career entrepreneurs bring valuable expertise and networks. Their edge lies in **judgment, reputation, and industry knowledge**, not long working hours or aggressive scaling.

Practical advice:

- Position late-life ventures in fields where experience and trust are decisive advantages (e.g., consulting, craft, professional services).
- Partner with younger professionals for energy and tech fluency, creating balanced teams.

4) Anticipate financing challenges, and design around them

Research suggests older founders face bias from external investors. The pension-linked survival advantage shows that **self-financing capacity** can offset this barrier.

Practical advice:

- Start small with **pilot customers** or **pre-orders** before seeking capital.
- Use **revenue-sharing** or **royalty models** that reward steady returns over explosive growth.

5) Distinguish opportunity-driven from necessity-driven ventures

After age 67, survival declines again, likely because some individuals start firms out of economic necessity rather than opportunity. Sustainable late-life entrepreneurship comes from **choice and capability**, not compulsion.

Practical advice:

- Assess readiness through experience, market demand, and financial stability before launching.
- If the foundation is weak, consider partnerships or advisory roles instead of solo founding.

IMPACT

This research reshapes the narrative about entrepreneurship and aging. Rather than viewing age as a disadvantage, it highlights how **life-stage transitions**, especially access to pensions and accumulated expertise, can redefine entrepreneurial success.

For policymakers, this suggests value in **encouraging post-retirement entrepreneurship** through flexible pension schemes and training. For investors and incubators, it calls for **rethinking bias** toward older founders.

Strategically, the findings affirm that **entrepreneurial potential spans the entire life course**, but what success looks like evolves with time. Younger founders may drive growth; older ones may optimize for endurance, quality, and personal fulfillment.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. **Launch ventures at the right life stage, not the "right age."** Consider the role of financial security and experience when timing a startup.
2. **Set realistic performance metrics.** Focus on resilience, profitability, and longevity rather than headcount growth.
3. **Build mixed-age teams.** Combine seasoned judgment with younger collaborators who bring fresh skills.
4. **Plan for financial independence.** Leverage pensions, savings, or alternative funding models to minimize investor bias.
5. **Encourage opportunity-driven ventures.** Support later-life entrepreneurship as a proactive choice,

not a fallback.

Spotlight by CeFEO, *Does Age Really Matter for Entrepreneurs?* When wisdom and financial freedom fuel entrepreneurship.
Downloaded on 21 June 2026 from <https://spotlight.cefeo.se>

CEFEO AUTHORS




Lucia Naldi

Professor

Jönköping International Business School

lucia.naldi@ju.se

PUBLISHED IN



Hagen, J., Naldi, L., & Karlsson, C. (2025). Aged to perfection? Unpacking the curvilinear relationship between founder age and new venture performance. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights*, 24, e00568.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbvi.2025.e00568>

Spotlight is an online magazine that translates research from the Centre for Family Entrepreneurship and Ownership (CeFEO) at Jönköping International Business School, Jönköping University, into accessible insights for family business owners, practitioners, and policymakers.

Spotlight is supported by the WIFU Foundation. This partnership advances dialogue and education in responsible family entrepreneurship and ownership.

