Spotlight
by CeFEO

Are trademarks a hidden signal of how innovative a social venture is?

Logos and names as a beacon of innovation in social enterprises

MIRKO HIRSCHMANN · JOERN H. BLOCK · GORGI KRLEV

Published on October 15, 2025 *https://doi.org/10.68623/spotlight.2025.8n4*

Firms with social purpose, either embedded inside the legacy firm or spun out as mission subsidiaries and foundations, face a persistent challenge: **how do we recognize, support, and scale the truly innovative efforts?** Traditional innovation yardsticks (R&D spend, patents, lab breakthroughs) rarely capture the kinds of progress most social ventures make: **service redesigns, new delivery models, and behavior-changing brands**.

This study proposes a deceptively simple proxy that's already public, low-cost to check, and surprisingly telling: **trademarks**. The research demonstrates that, within a large and heterogeneous population of social enterprises, those filing trademarks tend to be more innovative, both in what they offer and in how they pursue social impact.

WHAT WE STUDIED

The authors assembled a **comprehensive sample of 706 German social enterprises**, drawing from national networks, legal-form filters, and impact investment databases to avoid selection bias. They then:

- **Measured innovativeness through website text**: a web-crawler mapped innovation-related and impact-related keywords across ~26,000 subpages (median 23 per firm), building two indices—one for innovation (e.g., *innov*, *new*, *technol*) and one for social impact.
- **Matched in trademark data**: from the German Patent and Trade Mark Office, focusing on **active** marks filed up to 15 years before the crawl to ensure correct temporal ordering.
- **Ran multivariate models**: seemingly unrelated regressions showed how the **number of active trademarks** correlates with both innovation and impact indices while controlling for age, size, legal form (for-profit/nonprofit), sector (NACE codes), SDG focus, and website size.
- **Validated with a survey**: 108 enterprises (leaders/founders) provided direct answers on whether their **most important product/service** was novel and impact-oriented; the survey also probed **motives to register (or not register) trademarks**.

KEY INSIGHTS

1) Trademarks track real innovativeness

- Across 706 organizations, **more trademarks** were **strongly associated** with higher scores on both the **innovation** and **social impact** text indices found on company websites. The relationship holds after accounting for firm size, age, legal form, industry, SDG focus, and website length.
- In the **survey of leaders** (n=108), each additional trademark increased the **odds of being innovative** (novel offering + social/environmental aim) by a multiple (odds ratio ≈ 3.6).

2) Why social enterprises trademark

From the **motives analysis** (innovative subsample), three clusters dominate:

- **Protection**: Prevent brand imitation; protect products/services; ensure **quality protection of social impact** (a standout SE-specific motive).
- **Market orientation**: Strengthen company image; support marketing; enhance customer loyalty; increase negotiation power.

- **Scaling social impact**: Use marks to **codify standards**, enable **social franchising**, and **expand reach** while preserving quality of outcomes.

Conversely, organizations that **do not** trademark most often cite **process effort**, **cost**, or **low economic downside** from imitation, less so a principled desire to remain "open" to imitation for impact.

3) The pattern holds

- The association between trademarks and innovativeness remained **when limiting to recent filings** (suggesting timeliness), **for profit-oriented SEs**, and **for younger firms** (often even stronger).
- Patents were **rare** in this population, which underscores **why trademarks are the more useful proxy** for service-heavy, organizational, and model innovations.

TAKEAWAYS

1) Use trademarks as a portfolio screening metric

- **Add "active trademark count"** to your innovation dashboard when comparing mission ventures. It's public, simple to retrieve, and, per this study, **predictive of innovativeness**. Prioritize deeper diligence for ventures with **recent** filings, as these correlate even more strongly with current innovative effort (*robustness results on page 16*).

2) Treat brand protection as impact protection

- When your impact depends on **replicable practices** (e.g., social franchising, standard-setting programs), a **registered mark** can be the governance spine that **protects method integrity** across partners. Consider **program-level marks** (not just corporate names) with clear **brand usage manuals**.

3) Build a brand architecture for scaling

- Map a **master brand**, **endorsed program brands** (flagship initiatives), and **affiliated partner marks**. Register strategically in **priority jurisdictions** (where your partners operate), and align marks to **impact measurement frameworks** (SDGs, outcomes) to make the brand a **carrier of standards**.

4) Balance openness and stewardship

- If your theory of change benefits from **broad imitation**, trademarks don't force exclusion. You can grant **open, royalty-free licenses** conditioned on **impact quality criteria** (e.g., measurement protocols, beneficiary safeguards). This preserves **program identity** and **social quality** while allowing **wide uptake**.

5) Strengthen fundraising and stakeholder trust

- Investors, donors, and public agencies read trademarks as **market readiness** and **downstream capability** signals. Combine **registered marks** with **transparent impact claims** on program pages, and your **website content** (which this study also leverages) becomes a credible public dossier of innovation intent and delivery.

IMPACT

The study reframes trademarks from "just marketing" to **organizational infrastructure for innovation and impact**. Trademarks can:

- **Differentiate** mission programs in crowded social problem spaces.
- **Coordinate** multi-site execution with quality controls.
- **Accelerate** partner onboarding via clear identity and usage rights.
- **Attract** aligned talent ("employer visibility" appears among notable motives) and values-driven customers.

Looking ahead, the authors highlight the need for **cross-country** tests (since welfare regimes and legal forms vary), **longitudinal designs** (to trace timing and causality), and **deeper dives into trademark characteristics** (e.g., breadth, classes, geography) that might explain **who scales fastest** and **with the most reliable impact**.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. **Add trademark reviews to your impact investment memos.** Record active marks, filing dates, Nice classes, and territories. Flag **recent filings** (recency matters).
2. **Codify your signature interventions as trademarked program brands.** Pair each with a **how-to standards guide** (brand + method + metrics). Require **adherence** in partner agreements; use **licensed marks** to monitor fidelity.
3. **Design for scale with a licensing ladder.** Start with **pilot partners** on a **restricted license**, graduate to **open licenses with guardrails** for vetted networks, and retire licenses that fail **impact QA**.
4. **Use your website intentionally.** The study's text approach implies stakeholders (and algorithms) read your **innovation and impact language**. Audit pages for **clarity, specificity, and evidence**. Embed **consistent terminology** tied to your program marks.

5. **Budget for registration and upkeep, then measure ROI in impact.** Track whether trademarked programs **scale faster**, **retain quality**, and **attract partners** compared to unmarked ones. Treat legal costs as **mission infrastructure**.

Spotlight by CeFEO, Are trademarks a hidden signal of how innovative a social venture is? Logos and names as a beacon of innovation in social enterprises. Downloaded on 2 November 2026 from https://doi.org/10.68623/spotlight.2025.8n4

CEFEO AUTHORS



Jörn Block

Affiliated Professor

University of Trier

block@uni-trier.de

PUBLISHED IN



Hirschmann, M., Block, J. H., & Krlev, G. (2026). Trademarks and the innovativeness of social enterprises. Research Policy, 55, 105342.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2025.105342.

Spotlight is an online magazine that translates research from the Centre for Family Entrepreneurship and Ownership (CeFEO) at Jönköping International Business School, Jönköping University, into accessible insights for family business owners, practitioners, and policymakers.

Spotlight is supported by the WIFU Foundation. This partnership advances dialogue and education in responsible family entrepreneurship and ownership.

JÖNKÖPING UNIVERSITY
Jönköping International Business School

CeFEO
Centre for Family Entrepreneurship and Ownership

WIFU FOUNDATION